Electronically Filed
Docket: 16-CRB-0010-SD (2014-17)
Filing Date: 09/07/2022 06:38:03 PM EDT

PUBLIC VERSION

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, DC

In the Matter of)	Docket No. 16-CRB-0010-SD (2014-17)
Distribution of the 2014-17 Satellite Funds)	DUCKET NO. 10-CKD-0010-3D (2014-17)

ALLOCATION PHASE PARTIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR FURTHER DISTRIBUTION OF 2015-17 SATELLITE ROYALTIES

The Judges should grant the Allocation Phase Parties' Joint Motion for Further Distribution of 2015-17 Satellite Royalties ("Motion"). In response to the Judges' May 4 Order, the Allocation Phase Parties disclosed each party's confidential settlement share of the 2015-17 Satellite Royalties, as well as their respective shares of prior partial distributions. In addition, out of an abundance of caution, the Allocation Phase Parties doubled the proposed holdback to 10%, a level that is far greater than Multigroup Claimants' ("MGC") 2010-13 share and more than sufficient to address any Distribution Phase award to MGC. MGC neither identifies any realistic scenario in which it would be entitled to anywhere close to the Allocation Parties' proposed holdback nor proffers a proposed alternative. In short, MGC fails to raise a *reasonable* objection—the statutory standard—to the requested partial distribution. Accordingly, the Allocation Phase Parties respectfully request that the Judges grant their Motion and promptly publish the proposed distribution in the Federal Register.¹

I. The Proposed Holdback Is Conservative and Protective

-

¹ The Allocation Phase Parties filed their Motion pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 801(b)(3)(C). Notwithstanding MGC's purported confusion on the issue, that section of the Copyright Act permits distributions of royalties only "after publication in the Federal Register of a request for responses to the motion from interested claimants." *Id.*; *see* MGC Opposition at 10-12.

The Motion requests distribution of 90% of the remaining 2015-17 Satellite royalties, leaving a 10% holdback to address MGC's Distribution Phase claims. The proposed holdback is far greater than MGC's 2010-13 allocation, which ranged between 0.15% and 1.37%.²

Revealing the unsoundness of its position, MGC does not proffer an alternative holdback share or explain to the Judges how it could plausibly be entitled to an allocation of anywhere close to 10% of the remaining 2015-17 Satellite Royalties. MGC points to its pending motions to disallow all of Program Suppliers' and Settling Devotional Claimants ("SDC") claims as a purported basis for an increased MGC share. MGC Opposition at 4-5. However, those motions were opposed and lack all merit. In the case of Program Suppliers, MGC seeks a complete disallowance of claims simply because Program Suppliers appropriately referred MGC to their (Program Suppliers') publicly available claims filing rather than produce copies of those same claims during discovery. See MPA Response In Opposition To Multigroup Claimants' Motion For Disallowance Of Claims Made By MPA-Represented Program Suppliers, 16-CRB-0009-CD (2014-17) & 16-CRB-0010 (2014-17) (June 3, 2022). And in the case of the SDC, MGC alleges without basis that the failure of the law firms representing SDC to document their engagement "as agents to make claim for satellite royalties," when the SDC ministries filed their own claims directly. Further, the SDC produced documentation establishing the law firms' authority to represent the devotional claimants in these proceedings as counsel. See Settling Devotional Claimants' Opposition To Multigroup Claimants' Motion For Disallowance Of Claims By Settling Devotional Claimants, 16-CRB-0009-CD (2014-17) & 16-CRB-0010 (2014-17) (June 3, 2022).

² MGC's argument that its 2010-13 shares varied widely from year to year is misplaced, for the share was small and never exceeded 1.37% in any year. *See* MGC Opposition at 4.

Nor does MGC's claim in the Joint Sports category for certain Mexican soccer telecasts support a holdback of more than 10%. In the entire history of the Section 119 and 111 proceedings, neither MGC nor its predecessors in interest have ever established a right to *any* share of the Joint Sports category. The same is true in this proceeding. As set forth in Joint Sports Claimants' pending motion to disallow, the rights to the telecasts claimed by MGC in the Joint Sports category on behalf of Azteca International Corporation ("AIC") are owned by third parties. Moreover, MGC has not even established that it is authorized to claim on behalf of AIC. *See* Joint Sports Claimants' Motion to Disallow Multigroup Claimants' Claim to Sports Royalties, 16-CRB-0009-CD (2014-17) & 16-CRB-0010 (2014-17) (May 4, 2022). But even if MGC is found to have valid, authorized claims in the Joint Sports category, there is no conceivable scenario in which its claims for certain Mexican soccer games would entitle it to a share that approaches anywhere close to 10% of the remaining royalties.

Likewise, the fact that Global Music Rights LLC ("GMR") has an outstanding claim in the Music category does not call the proposed 10% holdback into question. As an initial matter, GMR did not object to the distribution sought in this Motion; it also did not object to the Allocation Phase Parties' previous motion seeking the distribution of a greater share of the remaining 2015-17 royalties. Furthermore, GMR is only claiming a portion of the allocated to the Music category. The proposed 10% holdback is more than sufficient to accommodate both GMR's and MGC's claims.

II. MGC's Other Arguments Lack Merit

MGC complains that the Judges should not rule on the instant Motion until its own motion for partial distribution is resolved. MGC Opposition at 6-8. This argument is meritless as the two motions are unrelated. MGC's motion raises a host of issues not present in the instant Motion,

including whether MGC is an "established claimant" entitled to receive a partial distribution, notwithstanding its history of filing invalid claims and its failure to file any claims to 2014 cable or satellite royalties. *See* SDC Opposition to MGC Motions for Partial Distributions of 2015-17 Cable and Satellite Royalty Funds, 16-CRB-0009-CD (2014-17) & 16-CRB-0010 (2014-17) (Aug. 6, 2022). In addition, there is no rule requiring that the Judges resolve Motions in the order they are filed.

MGC's arguments regarding the risk of overpayment are likewise misplaced. The instant Motion protects against overpayment in three important ways. *First*, the proposed 10% holdback is robust and is more than enough to cover any Distribution Phase award to MGC (and GMR). *Second*, at the request of the Judges, the Allocation Phase parties have shared with the Judges their respective settlement shares, together with each of their shares of prior partial distributions of the 2015-17 Satellite Royalties. Thus, the Licensing Section has all of the information it needs to avoid overpayments. *Third*, each Allocation Phase Party has stated that it will sign a repayment agreement to provide additional assurances in the unlikely event that an overpayment occurs.

While it is certainly preferrable to avoid overpayment, shutting down the Congressionally authorized partial distribution process and preventing the effectuation of a settlement is not the answer. Indeed, the partial distribution process has been highly successful and effective, and the alleged overpayments have been extremely small relative to the royalties at issue. The three proceedings cited by MGC involved a total of more than 3.6 billion dollars of royalties.

* * *

For the foregoing reasons, the Allocation Phase Parties respectfully request that the Judges grant the Motion and publish for comment in the Federal Register the proposed further distribution of 90% of the 2015-17 Satellite Royalties remaining on deposit as soon as possible.

September 7, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

PROGRAM SUPPLIERS

/s/ Lucy Plovnick

Gregory O. Olaniran D.C. Bar No. 455784 Lucy Holmes Plovnick

D.C. Bar No. 488752

MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

1818 N Street N.W., 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 355-7817

Fax: (202) 355-7887 goo@msk.com lhp@msk.com

COMMERCIAL TELEVISION CLAIMANTS GROUP

/s/ David Ervin

David Ervin
DC Bar No. 445013
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004-2595
Telephone: (202) 624-2685

Fax: (202) 628-5116 dervin@crowell.com

JOINT SPORTS CLAIMANTS

/s/ Michael Kientzle

Daniel A. Cantor
DC Bar No. 457115)
Michael Kientzle

DC Bar No. 1008361

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER

LLP

601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001 202.942.5000 (voice) 202.942.5999 (facsimile)

Daniel.Cantor@arnoldporter.com Michael.Kientzle@arnoldporter.com

DEVOTIONAL CLAIMANTS

/s/ Arnold Lutzker

Arnold P. Lutzker DC Bar No. 101816 Benjamin Sternberg DC Bar No. 1016576

LUTZKER & LUTZKER LLP 1233 20th

Street, NW, Suite 703 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 408-7600 Fax: (202) 408-7677

arnie@lutzker.com

Matthew J. MacLean
DC Bar No. 479257
Michael A. Warley
DC Bar No. 1028686
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW

PITTMAN LLP

1200 Seventeenth Street, NW

Washington DC 20036 Tel: (202) 663-8183 Fax: (202) 663-8007

Matthew.MacLean@pillsburylaw.com

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS, AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS

/s/ Samuel Mosenkis

Samuel Mosenkis NY Bar No. 2628915

ASCAP

250 West 57th Street, 14th Floor

New York, NY 10107 Telephone: (212) 621-6450

Fax: (212) 787-1381 smosenkis@ascap.com

BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.

/s/ Hope Lloyd

Hope M. Lloyd
NY Bar No. 3903754
BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.
7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007-0030
Telephone: (212) 220-3148
Fax: (212) 220-4490

Brian A. Coleman DC Bar No. 459201 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 1500 K Street, NW – Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 842-8800

Fax: (202) 842-8465

hlloyd@bmi.com

brian.coleman@faegredrinker.com

SESAC PERFORMING RIGHTS, LLC

/s/ Tim Warnock

Tim Warnock
TN Bar No. 012844
Loeb & Loeb LLP
35 Music Square East, Suite 310
Nashville, TN 37203
Telephone: (615) 749-8300
twarnock@loeb.com

Christos P. Badavas NY Bar No. 2673838 SESAC PERFORMING RIGHTS, LLC 152 West 57th Street, 57th Floor New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 586-3450 cbadavas@sesac.com

ALLOCATION PHASE PARTIES' REDACTION LOG FOR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FURTHER DISTRIBUTION OF 2015-17 SATELLITE ROYALTIES

In re Distribution of Satellite Royalty Funds, DOCKET NO. 16-CRB-0010 SD (2014-17)

Document(s)	Page	Basis for Redaction	Description of Redacted Information
	Numbers		
Confidential agreements among the Allocation Phase Parties.	Reply at 3	Contains confidential share information.	Music Claimants' confidential share of 2015-17 Satellite Royalties.

Proof of Delivery

I hereby certify that on Wednesday, September 07, 2022, I provided a true and correct copy of the Allocation Phase Parties' Reply in Support of Joint Motion for Further Distribution of 2015-17 Satellite Royalties - Public Version to the following:

Major League Soccer, L.L.C., represented by Edward S. Hammerman, served via E-Service at ted@copyrightroyalties.com

Multigroup Claimants, represented by Brian D Boydston, served via E-Service at brianb@ix.netcom.com

Global Music Rights, LLC, represented by Scott A Zebrak, served via E-Service at scott@oandzlaw.com

SESAC Performing Rights, LLC, represented by Timothy L Warnock, served via E-Service at twarnock@loeb.com

Broadcast Music, Inc., represented by Jennifer T. Criss, served via E-Service at jennifer.criss@dbr.com

Devotional Claimants, represented by Matthew J MacLean, served via E-Service at matthew.maclean@pillsburylaw.com

Broadcaster Claimants Group, represented by John Stewart, served via E-Service at jstewart@crowell.com

American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP), represented by Sam Mosenkis, served via E-Service at smosenkis@ascap.com

Program Suppliers, represented by Lucy H Plovnick, served via E-Service at Ihp@msk.com

Signed: /s/ Michael E Kientzle